



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/654,115	08/30/2000	Dean A. Klein	500050.01	5616

27076 7590 09/26/2005

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT
SUITE 3400
1420 FIFTH AVENUE
SEATTLE, WA 98101

EXAMINER

VU, TUAN A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2193

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/654,115

Applicant(s)

KLEIN, DEAN A.

Examiner

Tuan A. Vu

Art Unit

2193

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tuan A. Vu.

(3) _____.

(2) Ed Bulchis.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 September 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mattson.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

PD

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative likes to point out that Mattson does not teach a separate cache from a slow memory and that the present invention cache determination is not about predicting branch instruction. Examiner stated that the claim is not providing sufficient specifics to enable another way of interpreting the claims as had been proffered in the counter arguments in the Final Action. Applicant proposed some changes to the claim as to evidence a different physical cache and to help preclude the branch prediction interpretation as has been perceived from the Office Action. Until there is a written AF submission, all that Examiner can do is to say that if the proposed changes are submitted and put forth the above intentions, Examiner would communicate w/ and (possibly after consultation w/ Examiner's SPE) let Applicant representative know of the effects of such change upon the prior art so to help Applicant have an idea on the course of action to take subsequent to said AF submission